UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,105	03/02/2004	Osamu Maeda	90606.244/ym	2437
	7590 12/29/200 TSUDOKI KABUSHI	EXAMINER		
C/O KEATING & BENNETT, LLP			MONIKANG, GEORGE C	
SUITE 200	1800 Alexander Bell Drive SUITE 200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Reston, VA 20191			2614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JKEATING@KBIPLAW.COM uspto@kbiplaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/791,105	MAEDA, OSAMU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	GEORGE C. MONIKANG	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Oct</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	vn from consideration.  relection requirement.	≣xaminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/791,105 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, filed 10/17/2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 3-4 under 10/791,105 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Koike et al, US Patent 5,635,903. Since the applicant has acknowledged that the accelerator and decelerator are two different signals, the synthesizer of Koike et al (*Koike et al, col. 5, lines 21-32/fig.3 wt 33)/combined drive sound with sound data*) is able to concurrently output two signals since it synthesizes acceleration and deceleration signals.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,105

Art Unit: 2614

3. Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Truchsess, US Patent 5,734,726, in view of Koike et al, US Patent 5,635,903.

Page 3

Re Claim 3, Truchsess discloses a sound synthesizer for generating a sound that simulates the sound of an internal combustion engine having a plurality of cylinders (col. 2, lines 29-35), the sound synthesizer comprising: a memory arranged to store engine sound data corresponding to a plurality of operational states of the engine based on a firing interval of the cylinders (<u>col. 2, lines 29-37</u>); wherein the output generator controls the first and second sound signals such that the first sound signal has at least one of a first pitch that is variable for each firing interval and a first volume that is variable for each firing interval (col. 3, lines 40-53: anyone of the acceleration sounds), and the second sound signal has at least one of a second pitch that is variable for each firing interval independently of the first pitch of the first sound signal and a second volume that is variable for each firing interval independently of the first volume of the first sound signal (col. 3, lines 40-53: anyone of the acceleration sounds after the sound has been decelerated to idle and accelerated again; every acceleration will have different sound levels and pitches), but fails to disclose an output generator arranged to concurrently output first and second sound signals as taught in Koike et al (Koike et al, col. 5, lines 21-32; fig. 3 wt (33)/synthesizer to combined sound data with start or drive; col.6 line 45-60; col.7 line 1-10). It would have been obvious to use the synthesizer of Koike et al (Koike et al, col. 5, lines 21-32; fig. 3 wt (33)/synthesizer to combined sound data with start or drive; col.6 line 45-60; col.7 line 1-10) with the system of Truchsess output the signals concurrently for the purpose of providing a smooth realistic engine sound.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

Re Claim 4, the combined teachings of Truchsess and Koike et al disclose the sound synthesizer according to claim 3, wherein the first pitch and the first volume of the first sound signal are varied at a first rate (*Truchsess, col. 3, lines 62-67: the engine produces different sound levels and pitches depending on acceleration or deceleration*), and the second pitch and the second volume of the second sound signal are varied at a second rate different from the first rate to cause the sound synthesizer to generate sound having fluctuations in volume, pitch, and tone (*Truchsess, col. 3, lines 62-67: the engine produces different sound levels and pitches depending on acceleration or deceleration*).

### **Contact**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GEORGE C. MONIKANG whose telephone number is (571)270-1190. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. alt Fri. Off 7:30am-5:00pm (est).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chin Vivian can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,105

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/George C Monikang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2614 12/9/2008

Page 5

## /Vivian Chin/

**Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614**